Algorithms for Planar Graphs

Jeff Erickson

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

CIMAT, Guanajuato, Mexico September 10, 2018

Please ask questions!



Planar Graphs

- A graph is *planar* if it can be drawn in the plane without edge crossings
 - > Vertices = points
 - Edges = simple disjoint curves

























Tabula Peutingeriana [1st c. \rightarrow 5th c. \rightarrow 9th c. \rightarrow 13th c. \rightarrow Miller 1887]

















Planar embedding

- Vertices = points
- Edges = simple interior-disjoint curves







Incidence list

- Each edge represented by two directed edges or darts
- Each vertex v stores the list of darts into v
- Each dart stores successor, reversal, and tail vertex



Rotation system

[Hamilton 1856] [Kirkman 1856] [Cayley 1857] [Heffter 1891] [Brückner 1900]....

- Counterclockwise order of edges incident to each vertex
- Specifies the embedding of G on the sphere, up to (ambient) isotopy



Rotation system

[Hamilton 1856] [Kirkman 1856] [Cayley 1857] [Heffter 1891] [Brückner 1900]....

- Counterclockwise order of edges incident to each vertex
- Specifies the embedding of G on the sphere, up to (ambient) isotopy





Ordered incidence list

Record the rotation system in the incidence list







Duality

Every plane graph G has a natural dual plane graph G*:

- vertices of G* = faces of G
- edges of G* = edges of G
- ▶ faces of G* = vertices of G



Duality

Every plane graph G has a natural dual plane graph G*:

- vertices of G* = faces of G
- edges of G* = edges of G
- ▶ faces of G* = vertices of G



No new data structure

▶ The same ordered incidence list encodes both G and G*.

$$succ^{*}(d) = rev(succ(d))$$







Contraction ⇔ Deletion

- Deleting an edge merges the faces on both sides
- Contracting an edge merges the vertices at both ends



Contraction ⇔ Deletion

- Deleting an edge merges the faces on both sides
- Contracting an edge merges the vertices at both ends



Cycles ⇔ Cuts

- A cut separates the vertices on one side from the other
- A cycle separates the faces on one side from the other
 - b ... by the Jordan curve theorem



- ▶ Let **T** be an arbitrary spanning tree of **G**.
- Then $C^* = (G \setminus T)^*$ is a spanning tree of G^* .
 - ▷ *T* is connected \Rightarrow *C** is acyclic
 - ▷ *T* is acyclic \Rightarrow *C** is connected



Tree-cotree decomposition

- ▶ Let C* be an arbitrary spanning tree of G*.
- Then $T = (G^* \setminus C^*)^*$ is a spanning tree of G.
 - ▷ C^* is connected \Rightarrow *T* is acyclic
 - ▷ C^* is acyclic \Rightarrow *T* is connected



Euler's Formula

► For every planar graph with Vivertices, Eiedges, and F faces:

Euler's Formula

► For every planar graph with Vivertices, Eiedges, and F faces:

Proof:

- ► Fix a tree-cotree decomposition (*T*, *C*).
- ► T has V-1 edges.
- ► C* has F-1 edges.
- Thus, E = (V-1) + (F-1).



Easy Consequences

- For any planar *triangulation*, E = 3V-6 and F = 2V-4
 - Every face has exactly three sides
- ▶ For any simple planar graph, $E \leq 3V-6$ and $F \leq 2V-4$
 - No loops or parallel edges
 - Subgraph of a triangulation
- Every simple planar graph has a vertex of degree ≤ 5
 - ▷ ... at least 4 vertices of degree ≤ 5
 - \triangleright ... either a vertex of degree \leq 3 or a face of degree \leq 3

Please ask questions!

Minimum spanning trees



Tarjan's red-blue rule

- ► For each cycle in G, color the heaviest edge red.
- ► For each *cut* in G, color the lightest edge *blue*.
- Every edge of G is either *red* or *blue* but not both.
- ▶ The *blue* edges define the *minimum* spanning tree of *G*.

Tarjan's red-blue algorithm

- While G has at least one edge, either delete any red edge or contract any blue edge.
- Contracted edges = minimum spanning tree of G.
- Borůvka: Contract lightest edge incident to each vertex, delete heavy parallel edges, and (if any edges left) recurse.
- Jarník/Prim: Fix a vertex v. Contract lightest edge incident to v, delete heavy parallel edges, and (if edges left) recurse.
- Kruskal: For all edges in increasing weight order: If the current edge is a loop, delete it; otherwise, contract it.

...in planar graphs

- ▶ For each cycle in *G*, color the heaviest edge *red*.
- ▶ For each cut in *G*, color the lightest edge *blue*.
- ▶ The *blue* edges define the *minimum* spanning tree of *G*.

- ▶ For each cut in *G**, the heaviest edge is *red*.
- ▶ For each cycle in *G**, the lightest edge is *blue*.
- ► The red edges define the maximum spanning tree of G*.

Tarjan's red-blue algorithm

- While G has at least one edge, either delete any red edge or contract any blue edge.
- Contracted edges = minimum spanning tree of G.
- Deleted edges = maximum spanning tree of G*.

Borůvka's algorithm

[Borůvka 1928]

- ▶ While G has at least one edge
 - > For each vertex v
 - Contract the lightest edge incident to v (which must be blue)
 - Delete any heavy parallel edges (which must be red)
- All contractions in the first iteration take O(E) = O(V) time
- All deletions in the first iteration take O(E) = O(V) time
- Each iteration removes at least half the vertices.
- So overall running time is O(V)

Mareš's algorithm

While G has at least one edge

- Pick any vertex v with degree at most 5
- Contract the lightest edge incident to v (which must be blue)
- Delete any heavy parallel edges (which must be red)
- Each iteration takes O(1) time, so total running time is O(V).

[Mareš 2006]

Any constant will

work here

Matsui's algorithm

[Matsui 1995]

- While G has at least one edge
 - If G has a vertex v of degree at most 3
 - If v is incident to a loop, delete the loop
 - Else contract the lightest edge incident to v
 - If G has a face f with degree at most 3
 - If f is incident to a bridge, contract the bridge
 - Else delete the heaviest edge incident to f
- Each iteration takes O(1) time, so total running time is O(V).

Please ask questions!

 Every planar embedding of a simple planar graph G is equivalent to an embedding where every edge is a straight line segment.

[Steinitz 1916, Wagner 1936, Fáry 1948, Stein 1951, Stojaković 1959]

- Suppose *G* is a triangulation.
- ► Delete any vertex of degree ≤ 5 and retriangulate the hole
- Recursively embed the remaining graph
 - Base case: Embed 3-cycle as a triangle
- Reinsert vertex in resulting polygonal hole



- Suppose *G* is a triangulation.
- ► Delete any vertex of degree ≤ 5 and retriangulate the hole
- Recursively embed the remaining graph
 - Base case: Embed 3-cycle as a triangle
- Reinsert vertex in resulting polygonal hole



- ▶ Suppose *G* is a triangulation.
- ► Delete any vertex of degree ≤ 5 and retriangulate the hole
- Recursively embed the remaining graph
 - Base case: Embed 3-cycle as a triangle
- Reinsert vertex in resulting polygonal hole



- ▶ Suppose *G* is a triangulation.
- ► Delete any vertex of degree ≤ 5 and retriangulate the hole
- Recursively embed the remaining graph
 - Base case: Embed 3-cycle as a triangle
- Reinsert vertex in resulting polygonal hole



- ► WLOG suppose G is a *triangulation*
- Color the outer vertices red, green, and blue
- Direct and color the interior edges as follows:



[Schnyder 1990]

Recursive construction:



The edges of each color induce a *directed spanning tree* of the interior vertices, rooted at the outer vertex of that color.

- The edges of each color induce a *directed spanning tree* of the interior vertices, rooted at the outer vertex of that color.
 - \triangleright Each tree has V-2 vertices and V-3 edges
 - > So G has 3(V-3) + 3 = 3V-6 edges
 - > We just proved Euler's formula again!

Schnyder coordinates

Directed paths from each vertex partition the faces of G

Grid embedding

• Interpreting face counts as barycentric coordinates gives us a straight-line embedding of G on a $(2n-4)\times(2n-4)$ grid.

Grid embedding

► Using a similar partitioning of the vertices, we can embed G on an (n-1)×(n-1) grid.

Please ask questions!

Separators

- A separator of an *n*-vertex graph G is a subset S of vertices where each component of G\S has at most 2n/3 vertices.
- Used in lots of divide-and-conquer algorithms

$$T(n) \le T(n/3) + T(2n/3) + f(n)$$

$$\implies T(n) = \begin{cases} O(n) & \text{if } f(n) = O(n^{1-\varepsilon}) \\ O(n \log n) & \text{if } f(n) = \Theta(n) \\ O(f(n)) & \text{if } f(n) = \Omega(n^{1+\varepsilon}) \end{cases}$$

Paths: One vertex

- Paths: One vertex
- Cycles: Two vertices

- Paths: One vertex
- Cycles: Two vertices
- ► Trees: One vertex [Jordan 1869]

- Paths: One vertex
- Cycles: Two vertices
- ► Trees: One vertex [Jordan 1869]
- Outer-planar graphs: Two vertices

- Paths: One vertex
- Cycles: Two vertices
- Trees: One vertex [Jordan 1869]
- Outer-planar graphs: Two vertices
- √*n×√n grid*: √*n* vertices

- Paths: One vertex
- Cycles: Two vertices
- Trees: One vertex [Jordan 1869]
- Outer-planar graphs: Two vertices
- √*n×√n grid*: √*n* vertices

Median BFS level

- Let **T** be a breadth-first search tree rooted at any vertex.
- Let V_d be the set of vertices with depth d.
- Let $V_{<d}$ be the set of vertices with depth less than d.
- Let *d* be the largest integer such that $|V_{<d}| < 1/2$.
- Then V_d is a separator.
- Unfortunately, V_d may be very large.

▶ Suppose G is a planar triangulation.

- ▶ Suppose G is a planar triangulation.
- Let (T, C) be an arbitrary tree-cotree decomposition.

- ▶ Suppose G is a planar triangulation.
- Let (T, C) be an arbitrary tree-cotree decomposition.
- Each vertex in C^* has degree ≤ 3 .

- ▶ Suppose G is a planar triangulation.
- Let (T, C) be an arbitrary tree-cotree decomposition.
- Each vertex in C^* has degree ≤ 3 .

- Suppose G is a planar triangulation.
- Let (T, C) be an arbitrary tree-cotree decomposition.
- Each vertex in C^* has degree ≤ 3 .
- There is an edge e such that each component of C*\e* has at most 2F/3 dual vertices.

- Suppose G is a planar triangulation.
- Let (T, C) be an arbitrary tree-cotree decomposition.
- Each vertex in C^* has degree ≤ 3 .
- There is an edge e such that each component of C*\e* has at most 2F/3 dual vertices.
- Then cycle(T,e) is a (dual) separator of size ≤ 2 depth(T) + 1.

- Suppose G is a planar triangulation.
- Let (T, C) be an arbitrary tree-cotree decomposition.
- Each vertex in C^* has degree ≤ 3 .
- There is an edge e such that each component of C*\e* has at most 2F/3 dual vertices.
- Then cycle(T,e) is a (dual) separator of size ≤ 2 depth(T) + 1.

- Suppose G is a planar triangulation.
- Let (T, C) be an arbitrary tree-cotree decomposition.
- Each vertex in C^* has degree ≤ 3 .
- There is an edge e such that each component of C*\e* has at most 2F/3 dual vertices.
- Then cycle(T,e) is a (dual) separator of size ≤ 2 depth(T) + 1.

[Lipton Tarjan '77]

- Suppose G is a planar triangulation;
 let T be a BFS tree.
- ▶ Find median level j.



[Lipton Tarjan '77]

- Suppose G is a planar triangulation;
 let T be a BFS tree.
- ▶ Find median level *j*.
- Find levels V_i and V_k with $\leq \sqrt{n}$ nodes where $j - \sqrt{n} \leq i < j < k \leq j + \sqrt{n}$.



[Lipton Tarjan '77]

- Suppose G is a planar triangulation;
 let T be a BFS tree.
- Find median level *j*.
- Find levels V_i and V_k with $\leq \sqrt{n}$ nodes where $j - \sqrt{n} \leq i < j < k \leq j + \sqrt{n}$.
- Contract levels <i and delete levels
 k to obtain G(i, k].
- S = fund. cycle separator of G(i, k].
- $S \cup V_i \cup V_k$ is a separator of size $O(\sqrt{n})$.



- Suppose G is a planar triangulation; let T be a BFS tree.
- Find median level *j*.
- Find levels V_i and V_k with $\leq \sqrt{n}$ nodes where $j - \sqrt{n} \leq i < j < k \leq j + \sqrt{n}$.
- Contract levels <i and delete levels
 k to obtain G(i, k].
- S = fund. cycle separator of G(i, k].
- $S \cup V_i \cup V_k$ is a separator of size $O(\sqrt{n})$.



Planar triangulations have cycle separators of size O(√n). [Miller '84 '86]

- ▶ Planar triangulations have cycle separators of size O(√n). [Miller '84 '86]
- Separator hierarchy: Recursively separate both components

- ▶ Planar triangulations have cycle separators of size O(√n). [Miller '84 '86]
- Separator hierarchy: Recursively separate both components
 - ▷ Cutting across the hierarchy gives us an *r*-division: n/r pieces, each with O(r) vertices and adjacent to O(√r) shallower separator vertices [Frederickson '87]

- ▶ Planar triangulations have cycle separators of size O(√n). [Miller '84 '86]
- Separator hierarchy: Recursively separate both components
 - ▷ Cutting across the hierarchy gives us an *r*-division: n/r pieces, each with O(r) vertices and adjacent to O(√r) shallower separator vertices [Frederickson '87]
 - For cycle separator hierarchies: Each piece is a disk with O(1) holes. [Klein Subramanian '08]

- ▶ Planar triangulations have cycle separators of size O(√n). [Miller '84 '86]
- Separator hierarchy: Recursively separate both components
 - ▷ Cutting across the hierarchy gives us an *r*-division: n/r pieces, each with O(r) vertices and adjacent to O(√r) shallower separator vertices [Frederickson '87]
 - For cycle separator hierarchies: Each piece is a disk with O(1) holes. [Klein Subramanian '08]
 - The entire hierarchy can be computed in O(n) time. [Klein Mozes Sommer '13]

Please ask questions!

Shortest paths with arbitrary weights

- If any edges have negative weight, Dijkstra's algorithm no longer works (at least not quickly)
- ▶ But *Bellman-Ford* always works in O(VE) time:

```
dist(s) \leftarrow 0
for all vertices v \neq s
dist(v) \leftarrow \infty
repeat V times
for each edge u\rightarrowv
if dist(v) > dist(u) + w(u\rightarrowv)
dist(v) \leftarrow dist(u) + w(u\rightarrowv)
```

[Shimbel '54, Ford '56, Moore '57, Woodbury Dantzig '57, Bellman '58, Minty '58]

Suppose we want to compute dist_G(o,G) = distances in G from vertex o to all vertices in G.



- Suppose we want to compute dist_G(o,G) = distances in G from vertex o to all vertices in G.
- Find a separator S, splitting G into L and R. Pick new source vertex s in S.



- Suppose we want to compute dist_G(o,G) = distances in G from vertex o to all vertices in G.
- Find a separator S, splitting G into L and R. Pick new source vertex s in S.



▶ Recursively compute *dist*_L(*s*,*L*) and *dist*_R(*s*,*R*).



- Recursively compute dist_L(s,L) and dist_R(s,R).
- ▶ Reweight *L* and *R* so edges are non-negative, and compute $dist_L(S,L)$ and $dist_R(S,R)$ via Dijkstra. $\Rightarrow O(n^{3/2} \log n)$ time



- Compute dist_G(s,S) from dist_L(S,S) and dist_R(S,S) via Bellman-Ford.
 - ▷ Complete graph on $O(\sqrt{n})$ vertices $\Rightarrow O(n^{3/2})$ time



- ► Compute $dist_G(s,G)$ by brute force $\Rightarrow O(n^{3/2})$ time
 - ▷ If $v \in L$, then $dist_G(s,v) = min_{t \in S} dist_G(s,t) + dist_L(t,v)$.
 - ▷ If $v \in R$, then $dist_G(s,v) = min_{t \in S} dist_G(s,t) + dist_R(t,v)$.



- ► Compute $dist_G(s,G)$ by brute force $\Rightarrow O(n^{3/2})$ time
 - ▷ If $v \in L$, then $dist_G(s,v) = min_{t \in S} dist_G(s,t) + dist_L(t,v)$.
 - ▷ If $v \in R$, then $dist_G(s,v) = min_{t \in S} dist_G(s,t) + dist_R(t,v)$.



Finally, reweight G so edges are non-negative, and compute dist_G(o,G) via Dijkstra.



Finally, reweight G so edges are non-negative, and compute dist_G(o,G) via Dijkstra.



Finally, reweight G so edges are non-negative, and compute dist_G(o,G) via Dijkstra.



► Total: $T(n) \le T(n/3) + T(2n/3) + O(n^{3/2} \log n) = O(n^{3/2} \log n)$

Further tricks

- Multiple-source shortest paths: We can (implicitly) compute dist_L(S,L) and dist_R(S,R) in only O(n log n) time.
 - > Yes, even though there are $O(n^{3/2})$ distances to compute!
 - More details tomorrow!

Further tricks

- Multiple-source shortest paths: We can (implicitly) compute dist_L(S,L) and dist_R(S,R) in only O(n log n) time.
 - > Yes, even though there are $O(n^{3/2})$ distances to compute!
 - More details tomorrow!



[Klein '05]

Further tricks

- Monge property: Boundary-to-boundary paths in planar graphs are shorter when they don't cross.
- We can use this property to speed up Bellman-Ford stage from O(n^{3/2}) time to O(n log n) time





[Monge 1781]

[Fakcharoenphol Rao '06]



[SMAWK '87]

- Using several more advanced tricks, we can compute shortest paths in planar graphs with arbitrary edge weights in O(n log² n / log log n) time. [Klein Mozes Weimann '10, Mozes Wulff-Nilsen '10]
 - Multiple-source shortest paths [Klein '05]
 - Structured r-divisions instead of single separators [Klein Mozes Sommer '13]
 - Exploit Monge property in Bellman-Ford [Fakcharoenphol Rao '06]
 - ▷ Careful parameter balancing $(r = n \cdot \alpha(n) / \log n)$

Please ask questions!

Thank you! See you tomorrow!

